1	Matthew J. Campos, WSBA 40777 McNaul Ebel Nawrot & Helgren PLLC	Hon. Whitman L. Holt
2 3	600 University Street, Suite 2700 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 467-1816	Hearing Date: March 27, 2024 Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m. Location: Tower Bldg. 2 nd Fl. Courtroom
4	mcampos@mcnaul.com	2 nd Fl. Courtroom 402 E. Yakima Ave.
5	Matthew A. Lesnick, CSBN 177594 Lesnick Prince & Pappas LLP 315 West Ninth Street, Suite 705	Yakima, WA 98901
6	Los Angeles, CA 90015	
7	Los Angeles, CA 90015 Phone: (310) 396-0964 matt@lesnickprince.com (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)	
8		
9	Attorneys for Interested Party Christopher Christensen	
10		JOTRICT COLIDT
11	UNITED STATES D EASTERN DISTRICT	
12	In re	Chapter 11
13	ICAP ENTERPRISES, INC., et al.	Lead Case No. 23-01243-WLH11 Jointly Administered
14	Debtors. ¹	EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS
15		TO CUSTODIAL DECLARATION OF LANCE
16		MILLER IN SUPPORT OF JOINT MOTION FOR ORDER
17 18		AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO OBTAIN SUPPLEMENTAL
19		SUITEMENTAL
	¹ The Debtors (along with their case numbers) are	
20	iCap Pacific NW Management, LLC (Case No. 23-0 No. 23-01258-11); iCap Vault, LLC (Case No. 23-01258-11)	
21	01257-11); Vault Holding 1, LLC (Case No. 23-01 01255-11); iCap Pacific Northwest Opportunity	
22	11);iCap Equity, LLC (Case No. 23-01247-11); iCap Pacific Income 5 Fund, LLC (Case	Cap Pacific Income 4 Fund, LLC (Case No. 23-
23	Fund, LLC (Case No. 23-01253-11); 725 Broa	ndway, LLC (Case No. 23-01245-11); Senza
24	Kenmore, LLC (Case No. 23-01254-11); iCap Can 17th Ave, LLC (Case No. 23-01267-11); iCap Bro	adway, LLC (Case No. 23-01252-11); VH 1121
25	14th LLC (Case No. 23-01264-11); VH Senior Ca Townhomes LLC (Case No. 23-01262-11); iCap (@ UW, LLC (Case No. 23-01244- 11); VH 2nd
26	Street Office, LLC (Case No. 23-01259-11); VH iCap Funding LLC (Case No. 23-01246-11); iCap N	

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO CUSTODIAL DECLARATION OF LANCE MILLER – Page 1

Realty, LLC (Case No. 23-01260- 11).

Interested party Christopher Christensen ("Christensen") submits the following evidentiary objections to the Custodial Declaration of Lance Miller (the "Custodial Miller Declaration") [Dkt. No. 543] in Support of Debtors iCap Enterprises, Inc., et al. (the "Debtors") and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors' (the "Committee") Joint Motion for Order: (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Obtain Supplemental Postpetition Secured Financing; (II) Granting Superpriority Administrative Expense Claims; and (III) Granting Related Relief (the "Motion"). The exhibits attached to the Custodial Miller Declaration are inadmissible for the reasons set forth below. Accordingly, Christensen requests that the Court exclude the below-referenced exhibits as inadmissible.

APPLICABLE LAW

"Authentication is a condition precedent to admissibility[.]" *Orr v. Bank of America, NT & SA*, 285 F.3d 764, 773 (9th Cir. 2002). This condition is satisfied by "evidence sufficient to support a finding that the matter in question is what its proponent claims." Fed. R. Evid. 901(a). "Authentication or identification may be shown either with extrinsic evidence (such as testimony by a witness with knowledge, *see* Fed. R. Evid. 901(b)(1)) or by demonstrating that the document is one that is self-authenticating in accordance with Federal Rule of Evidence 902." Russell at § 901:1 (citing *In re Bay Vista of Virginia, Inc.*, 428 B.R. 197, 214-215 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 2010)).

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO CUSTODIAL DECLARATION OF LANCE MILLER – Page 2

² These objections are made in addition to the objections raised in the brief filed by Christensen in opposition to the Motion.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Exhibits 1 through 30 of the Custodial Miller Declaration, which include the Debtors' private placement and offering memoranda, letters, account statements, and emails, are inadmissible because they have not been authenticated in any manner.

Paragraph 3 of the Custodial Miller Declaration states only that Miller is "one of the Company and Paladin employees that has possession, custody, and control of the Company's and Paladin's records." [Dkt. No. 543 ¶ 3.] It does not establish that Miller exercised any measure of control over the Debtors' records in the ordinary course of business. In fact, this cannot be established as Miller did not work for the Debtor until 2023. *See* Dkt. No. 23 (Declaration of Lance Miller in Support of First Day Motions) at 10 ("On July 14, 2023, the Debtors engaged the services of my firm, Paladin, in order to assist in evaluating options for addressing their liquidity needs and/or restructuring their obligations").

For this reason, Miller has not and cannot provide any information about where he got Exhibits 1 through 30 from, who created them, when they were created, or how they have been maintained. In other words, Exhibits 1 through 30 lack authentication. For example, the Custodial Miller Declaration attaches 11 memoranda which the Debtors argue were used "to cultivate the illusion that iCAP's Funds were legitimate business operations." [Dkt. No. 542 at 16.] However, the Debtors provide no indication of whether the attached memoranda are draft of final versions or whether they were actually disseminated to potential investors.

1	Because Exhibits 1 through 30 have not been authenticated, Christensen
2	requests that the Court exclude them as inadmissible.
3 4	Dated: March 18, 2024 By: /s/Matthew J. Campos Matthew J. Campos, WSBA 40777 McNaul Ebel Nawrot & Helgren PLLC
5	600 University Street, Suite 2700 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 467-1816
6	mcampos@mcnaul.com
7	and
8	Matthew A. Lesnick, CSBN 177594
9 10	Lesnick Prince & Pappas LLP 315 West Ninth Street, Suite 705 Los Angeles, CA 90015
11	Phone: (310) 396-0964 matt@lesnickprince.com (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
12	Attorneys for Interested Party Christopher Christensen
13	Christopher Christensen
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO CUSTODIAL DECLARATION OF LANCE MILLER – Page 4

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify that on March 18, 2024, I electronically filed the	
3	foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will	
4	send notification of such filing to all counsel of record who receives	
5	CM/ECF notification.	
6		
7	Dated: March 18, 2024 By: /s/ Nancy Hedges	
8	Nancy Hedges	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO CUSTODIAL DECLARATION OF LANCE MILLER – Page 5